

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/606,502 | MARTIENSSEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Teresa E. Strzelecka | 1637 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Teresa E. Strzelecka.

(3) _____.

(2) Matthew Hinsch.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Hinsch was contacted regarding IDSs filed May 28, 2004 and February 1, 2005. The first had page numbers 3 and 4, even though there were only two pages present, and the second had only one page numbered 3. Mr. Hinsch verified that only two pages of IDS were submitted for the 5/28/04 IDS and one page for the 2/1/05 IDS. .